

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/823,215	10/823,215 04/12/2004		David S. Rathbun	8932-890-999	1597	
20583	7590	11/10/2004		EXAMINER		
JONES DA	-		BONDERER, DAVID A			
NEW YORK		017	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				3732		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
,		10/823,2	15	RATHBUN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		D. Austin	Bonderer	3732					
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with	h the correspondence address					
Period fo	• •	00 DEDLY 10 0ET T		NITHON EDOM					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum starte to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a sed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. 0) days, a reply within the statetory period will apply and ward. In the state of the state.	vent, however, may a rep tutory minimum of thirty vill expire SIX (6) MONT olication to become ABA	oly be timety filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 12 April 2004.							
2a)□	•	2b) This action is i	non-final.						
3)									
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
•	Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the a	annlication		•					
7/23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		onsideration.						
5)[7]	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
,—	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	quirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers				•				
	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.		•					
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:) objected to b	by the Examiner.					
.0,	Applicant may not request that any obje								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				21(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to								
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority ur	nder 35 II.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)					
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	Tor foreign phonty ar	1401 00 0.0.0.	110(a) (a) 01 (i).					
a)	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority			oplication No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies				В				
	application from the Internation			, and the second					
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action			received.					
Attachmer	nt(c)								
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No(s))/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
ı apı			-,	-					

Application/Control Number: 10/823,215

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-48, drawn to a drill guide, classified in class 606, subclass 96.
 - II. Claims 49-55, drawn to a surgical method, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case The method does not require the specifics of the guide and can employ many known or generic guides.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, Figs 1-3B, 5A, 5B, 7, 9, 11-13, and 15;

Species B, Figs 6A and 6B;

Species C, Fig 8;

Species D, Figs 10 and 18;

Species E, Figs 14A and 14B; and

Page 2

Species F, Fig 19.

If the Applicant selects invention I, the Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

NOTE

- 5. The Applicant failed to present a claim 19. All the claims have been renumbered appropriately. The Applicant is required to present new renumbered claims.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 571.272.4708. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on 571.272.4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dab

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER